

Combined CO₂ Geological Storage and Geothermal Energy Utilization in Greece

A. Arvanitis¹, N. Koukouzas², P. Koutsovitis², D. Karapanos², E. Manoukian²

(1) Hellenic Survey of Geology and Mineral Exploration, 1 Sp. Louis St., 13677, Acharnae, Greece, arvanitis@igme.gr

(2) Centre for Research and Technology Hellas/Chemical Process and Energy Resources Institute, Marousi, Greece

CO₂ injection and storage in deep geological formations can contribute to the exploitation of geothermal potential. CO₂ has significant advantages compared to water, due to certain favorable physical, chemical and thermophysical properties. Several proposals for the use of CO₂ in geothermal energy exploitation have been formulated but they still remain at an initial research stage (Arvanitis *et al.*, 2018):

- Supercritical CO₂ utilization as working fluid (heat transmission fluid) in Enhanced Geothermal Systems (EGS), where the natural permeability and/or fluid content is limited and insufficient. In EGS, fluid is injected from surface at high pressure into high-temperature deep rocks through wells under carefully controlled conditions to re-open the pre-existing natural fractures and flow through them into production wells extracting heat from the high-temperature rock formations. Supercritical CO₂ can be used as a working fluid instead of water or brine to recover the geothermal heat from the reservoir, due to specific thermodynamic advantages against water; e.g.: larger expansivity and compressibility, lower viscosity, higher mobility, larger flow velocity for a given pressure gradient, different enthalpy behavior in relation to pressure and temperature, higher heat extraction rates, less effective as a solvent for rock minerals (Brown, 2000; Pruess, 2006).
- Supercritical CO₂ injection into a deep saline aquifer and formation of "CO₂ Plume Geothermal" (CPG), which displaces native fluids and extracts heat from the geothermal reservoir. CPG involves pumping supercritical CO₂ into deep, naturally porous, permeable geologic reservoirs overlaid by low-permeability caprocks. The injected CO₂ absorbs heat from the reservoir, buoyantly rises to the surface, and drives a power generation system. The CO₂ is then cooled and re-injected into the reservoir. The produced CO₂ mass fraction depends on the stratigraphic positions of highly permeable layers which also affect the pore-fluid pressure drop across the reservoir. CPG systems provide viable geothermal energy resources for electricity production, even in regions with relatively low geothermal temperatures and heat flow rates, where suitable reservoirs exist (Randolph and Saar, 2011), leading to expansion of geothermal energy utilization. These systems are capable of achieving improvements in heat extraction efficiencies well above those accomplished by replacing water with CO₂ as the working fluid in EGS. Supercritical CO₂ has a large mobility (inverse kinematic viscosity) and thermal expansibility compared to water, resulting in the formation of a strong thermosiphon that typically eliminates parasitic pumping requirements (Garapati *et al.*, 2014). Traditional water-based geothermal development requires three geologic Conditions: (1) significant amounts of water, (2) a permeable formation to allow water extraction/reinjection, and (3) sufficient subsurface temperatures. EGS seeks to artificially generate Condition 2 and supply (water-based EGS) or avoid (CO₂-based EGS) Condition 1, thereby expanding geothermal heat mining prospects. On the other hand, CPG provides an alternative working fluid (avoiding Condition 1) with high mobility compared to water, thus expanding the range of usable natural-formation permeabilities (Condition 2). Similarly, CO₂ lowers minimum thresholds of economically and technologically viable subsurface temperatures (Condition 3), as its high mobility enhances heat extraction efficiency. Numerical simulations have indicated that CPG systems provide higher geothermal energy extraction rates significantly improving heat energy recovery over water-based systems (Randolph and Saar, 2011).
- A hybrid two-stage thermal energy recovery approach (initially brine and then brine and CO₂) from a deep saline aquifer. After the CO₂ injection, during the first stage, formation brine is pumped out to relieve the pressure. During the second stage and as CO₂ reaches the production wells, CO₂ and brine are produced together as working fluids. The produced brine can be used either for freshwater production by desalination (reverse osmosis), or as saline cooling water or even as injected water into adjacent EGS (Buscheck *et al.*, 2012).
- CO₂ utilization as a pressure-support fluid in order to create artesian pressures for CO₂ and brine production as working fluids. This can be achieved by developing a pattern of horizontal wells at reservoir bottom depths of 2.5-5 km and creating at least 4 concentric rings, consisting of inner ring brine/CO₂ producers, CO₂ injectors, brine reinjectors and outer-ring brine producers (Buscheck *et al.*, 2013).
- CO₂ dissolution in a saline aquifer and energy recovery of CO₂-rich hot brine through a geothermal doublet system (production and injection wells). This new approach involves (Kervévan *et al.*, 2017): (i) CO₂ capture with innovative technology based on the CO₂ dissolution in water (Pi-CO₂ technology), (ii) extraction of aquifer's brine through a production well, (iii) heat recovery through a surface heat exchanger system, and (iv) re-injection of the cooled brine through the injection well, which will be linked to the new Pi-CO₂ technology.

Potential sites suitable for CO₂ geological storage in Greece have been studied (CERTH, IGME), with efforts was made to assess their storage capacity. The following sites and formations have been proposed:

- Miocene sandstone reservoirs, more than 1,600 m deep, in the hydrocarbon (oil and gas) fields of Prinos and South Kavala, with a total capacity of 35 Mt CO₂

- Oil reservoirs in Kallirachi (wider offshore Prinos basin), with a storage capacity of 30 Mt CO₂
- Upper Jurassic-Lower Cretaceous limestones in the Epanomi gas field (south of Thessaloniki), at a depth of 2,600m, with a capacity of 2 Mt CO₂
- Fractured Cretaceous-Eocene limestones in the hydrocarbon (oil and gas) fields of Katakolo (West Peloponnese), with a storage capacity of 3.2 Mt CO₂.
- Deep saline aquifers of Miocene age in the offshore Prinos basin, with an average depth of 2,400m, an area of 800km², an average thickness of 260m, an average porosity of 18% and estimated capacity of 1,350 Mt CO₂.
- Deep (900-2,400m) saline aquifers within the Eocene formations in the wider onshore basin of Thessaloniki (Central Macedonia), including the Loudias and Alexandria structural traps as well as the deeper clean sandstone bed, with an average porosity of 8-10% and a total storage capacity of 640 Mt CO₂.
- The sedimentary formations of the Mesohellenic Trough (NW Greece), with an average depth of 1,000m, average porosity of 10%, average thickness of 300m and a storage capacity of 216 Mt CO₂.

In the Thessaloniki onshore basin as well as in the Mesohellenic Trough, where a potential of CO₂ geological storage in saline aquifers has been assessed, temperature measurements in deep hydrocarbon exploration boreholes have given the following results:

- Thessaloniki Basin: The temperature of 65°C (Horner Correction) was recorded in borehole ALEXANDRIA-1 at 1,705m depth, within the ophiolitic bedrock. In borehole LOUDIAS-1, the temperatures of 74°C and 83°C were measured at depths of 1,520m and 2,614m respectively, within Eocene-Oligocene sediments. Temperatures of 73°C, 76°C and 79.5°C have been recorded at depths of 3,130m, 3,629m and 2,745m, within Paleogene (Eocene-Oligocene) sediments, in boreholes KORYFI-1, KLEIDI-1 and AEGINIO-1 respectively (Karytsas, 1990).
- Mesohellenic Trough: In borehole NEAPOLIS-2, a temperature of 49°C (uncorrected value) has been measured at a depth of 1,126m in the Senonian formations. In borehole NEAPOLIS-1, the temperature of 50°C has been recorded at 600m depth, on the roof of the Senonian limestones (Karytsas, 1990). However, in 1998, the temperature of 23°C was recorded at 605m depth in an exploration borehole drilled by the Institute of Geology and Mineral Exploration.

The offshore Prinos basin is located in the Gulf of Kavala and the available thermometric data have no significance, since the geothermal potential cannot be exploited. To the Northeast, the Nestos River Delta basin can be considered as its onshore extension. Hydrocarbon exploration boreholes N-1, N-2 and N-3 were drilled in the Nestos River Delta basin and the temperatures of 115°C, 127°C and 138°C have been recorded at depths of 2,970m, 3,104m and 3,601m respectively, within the Miocene sediments. Additionally, the temperature of 122°C has been measured at the bottom of the geothermal exploration borehole N-1G (NW of the village of Eratino), 1,377m deep, in the metamorphic basement.

Considering that to date there are no studies on the implementation of EGS in Greece, it is considered more feasible to combine CO₂ geological storage and geothermal energy utilization in deep saline aquifers by mainly applying CPG technology. Among the studied CO₂ geological storage sites in deep aquifers, the Thessaloniki basin has been recognized as having an extensive geothermal potential of moderate temperatures (up to 89°C). Bearing in mind that a CPG system provides greater power and more extensive thermal extraction over water-based systems, it is obvious that the case of the Thessaloniki basin requires further study so that CPG technology can optimize its geothermal potential.

References

- Arvanitis A., Koukouzas N., Gemeni V., Koutsovitis P., 2018. Contribution of CO₂ Geological Storage to the Geothermal Energy Exploitation. 11th National Conference on Renewable Energy Sources, Institute of Solar Technology, Thessaloniki, Greece, Volume A, 493-507.
- Brown, D. W., 2000. A Hot Dry Rock Geothermal Energy Concept Utilizing Supercritical CO₂ Instead of Water. 25th Workshop on Geothermal Reservoir Engineering, Stanford University, Stanford, California, USA, SGP-TR-165, p.6.
- Buschek, T., Chen, M., Sun, Y., Hao, Y., Elliot, T., 2012. Two-Stage, Integrated, Geothermal-CO₂ Storage Reservoirs: An Approach for Sustainable Energy Production, CO₂-Sequestration Security, and Reduced Environmental Risk. Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL), Livermore, CA, USA, 20 p.
- Buschek, T., Chen, M., Lu, C., Sun, Y., Hao, Y., Celia, M., Elliot, T., Choi, H., Bielicki, J., 2013. Analysis of Operational Strategies for Utilizing CO₂ for Geothermal Energy Production. 38th Workshop on Geothermal Reservoir Engineering Stanford University, Stanford, California, SGP-TR-198, p. 12.
- Garapati, N., Randolph, J. B., Saar, M. O., 2014b. Total Heat Energy Output From, Thermal Energy Contributions To, and Reservoir Development of CO₂ Plume Geothermal (CPG) Systems. 39th Workshop on Geothermal Reservoir Engineering, Stanford University, Stanford, California, SGP-TR-202, p. 12.
- Karytsas, C., 1990. Inventory of Low-Enthalpy Geothermal Resources Discovered Through Deep Oil and Gas Exploration Wells. Final report for contract DG XVII 7030/ETD/89-6, Centre for Renewable Energy Sources, Pikermi, Greece, 139 p., Appendix
- Kervévan, C., Beddelem, M.-H., Galiègue, X., Le Gallo, Y., May, F., O'Neil K., Sterpenich, J., 2017. Main Results of the CO₂-DISSOLVED Project: First Step toward a Future Industrial Pilot Combining Geological Storage of Dissolved CO₂ and Geothermal Heat Recovery. Energy Procedia, 114, 4086-4098
- Pruess, K., 2006. Enhanced Geothermal Systems (EGS) Using CO₂ as Working Fluid - A Novel Approach for Generating Renewable Energy with Simultaneous Sequestration of Carbon. Geothermics, 35, 351-367
- Randolph, J. B., Saar, M. O., 2011. Combining Geothermal Energy Capture with Geologic Carbon Dioxide Sequestration. Geophysical Research Letter, 38, L10401, p 7.